

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/669,474	MCNEEL, DOUGLAS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Louis D. Lieto	1632

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Final

(1) Louis D. Lieto.

(3) ____.

(2) Zhibin Ren.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2006

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,8,9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Amendments to claims 1, 29 and 30 were proposed to and accepted by applicant's representative. Cancellation of claims 8, 9, 23 was proposed to and accepted by applicant's representative. Applicant's representative was informed that a biological deposit of pTVG-HP made under the Budapest treaty was required prior to the payment of the issue fee. Applicant's representative agreed to this requirement.